

REMARKS

This is in full and timely response to the above-identified Office Action. The above listing of the claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application. Reexamination and reconsideration in light of the proposed amendments and the following remarks are respectfully requested.

In response to the indication that claim 7 would be allowable, the subject matter of this claim is introduced into claim 1 and claim 7 is cancelled. This amendment renders the rejection under 35 USC § 103(a) moot.

It should be noted that the subject matter of claims 3, 4 and 5 are not introduced into claim 1 and that these claims are maintained as they were filed. Irrespective of minor amendments which are necessary in order to renumber the plurality of axes which are recited, and to avoid reciting a sixth axis before a third axis, for example, it is submitted that the subject matter of claim 7 does not require any of the limitations which are recited in claims 3-5 for antecedent basis and that the absence of these limitations do not have any effect on the patentability of the structure which was recited in claim 7.

It is submitted that while claims 9 and 10 currently stand withdrawn from consideration, the above amendment of claim 1 is such as render these claims also allowable via their dependence on claim 1 which now contains allowable subject matter.

Favorable consideration of the claims as they stand amended in this response and the allowance of this application are courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 30 June 2005

By

Stephen S. Bent

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE
Telephone: (202) 672-5485
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Stephen S. Bent
Registration No. 29,768

Keith J. Townsend
Registration No. 40,358